King County -- Juvenile Justice Evaluation Work Group Identify Successful Project/Program Criteria Work Plan

MILESTONE	COMPONENTS	TIMELINE
A. Research, Evaluation & Best Pra	actice Information	
Identify successful or effective projects/programs that impact the juvenile justice system (including both local community-based prevention/intervention programs & national projects/programs).	Identify existing compilations of research, evaluation & best practice information related to prevention and intervention programs both locally & nationally. For example: JJOMP Blue Prints Washington State Institute of Public Policy AYPF publications Peter Greenwood Reinvesting in Youth OJJDP	5/10/01
	 Identify additional resources of related research, evaluation & best practice info. Establish mechanism for on-going review of research, evaluation, and best practice information both locally & nationally. 	5/24/01
B. Criteria/Components		
Develop "criteria" which define and identify components of successful or effective projects/programs.	Define structure for categorizing and identifying criteria (i.e. implementation, evaluation, service delivery model, etc).	5/10/01
	Review definition of service linkage model with IHST	5/22/01
	 Based upon research & best practices information, identify "criteria" or key components shared by successful or effective community-based projects/programs impacting the juvenile justice system (both prevention & intervention). Ensure that criteria and key components are consistent with King County Framework Policies, HSRR, JJOMP. 	6/14/01
	Review criteria with key researchers and practitioners	On-going
	Seek input from stakeholder groups	On-going
	Establish mechanism for on-going review and possible updates of successful project/program criteria	6/28/01
C. Menu		
projects & programs	Clarify definitions for proven & promising programs	5/10/01
	Determine structure for menu including content, design, and format	5/10/01
	Develop menu that distinguishes proven & promising programs and includes information such as service delivery model, target population, outcomes, and consistency with criteria identified above.	6/14/01

	Distribute menu to providers, community members, and other stakeholders through other ongoing initiatives and appropriate community symposiums.	On-going
	 Determine additional mechanisms for providing technical assistance to communities as well as agencies and programs as it relates to proven/promising projects & programs and criteria. 	6/28/01
D. System/Program Outcomes		
Develop a working list of system level outcomes & indicators: Phase I Related to reducing involvement in the juvenile justice system. Phase II Related to outcomes for other intervention systems, i.e. substance abuse & schools.	 Identify departments, divisions, agencies, and programs that contribute to outcomes & indicators at the system level. (Within King County) 	7/12/01
	Draft working list of outcomes and indicators	7/12/01
	 Develop & implement process to identify risk/protective factors most related to predicting delinquency 	8/9/01
	Seek feedback from appropriate stakeholders	8/23/01
	 Standardize mechanism for measuring and reporting on selected outcomes & indicators, including development of data collection procedures. 	7/26/01
	 Establish accountability structures to evaluate and monitor prioritized outcomes & indicators at selected levels. 	8/23/01
Develop a working list of program-level outcomes for agencies/ programs serving at-risk youth and youth already involved in the juvenile justice system.	 Identify specific agencies & programs that contribute to outcomes related to reducing involvement in the juvenile justice system. (Within King County) 	7/12/01
	Draft working list of outcomes/indicators.	7/12/01
	Seek feedback from appropriate stakeholders, including staff from agencies & programs contributing to identified outcomes	8/23/01
	Standardize mechanism for measuring and reporting on selected outcomes & indicators, including development of data collection procedures	7/26/01
	Revise applicable agency contracts to include outcomes, indicators, & reporting requirements if different from current work statement.	ongoing
	Establish accountability structures to evaluate and monitor outcomes.	8/23/01
E. System/Program Performance N	leasures	
Identify system and program level performance measures	 Clarify which functional areas of the juvenile justice system, community-based programs and selected outcomes will have identified performance measures. 	7/26/01
	 Identify entities or persons responsible for developing applicable performance measures and targets (where possible). 	7/26/01
	Draft working list of performance measures and targets	8/2/01
	Seek input from stakeholder groups	9/6/01
	 Develop a performance measure data collection plan including, comprehensive list of measures sought, specific accountability as to who is responsible for collecting which data points, data sources within the system, timelines for collection, compilation and reporting of data. 	9/6/01

F. Community/Stakeholder Input Process					
Implement ongoing process to seek input and buy-in from community members and stakeholders, both internally and externally.	•	Provide regular updates and review draft materials/products with existing King County work groups (e.g., IHST, Children & Family Commission, JJOMP Workgroup, etc.)	On-going		
	•	Identify & attend community/provider meetings throughout King County present project areas and seek feedback & suggestions. (ensure feedback loop is in place)	May/June 2001		
	•	Establish feedback loop to respond to input and suggestions from stakeholder groups	Prior to first mtg.		
	•	Participate in community symposium to present developed materials/products	Aug/Sept 2001		